Debate on Looting Part 3

> Taken from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prophet_Muhammad
>
> ### War
>
> Relations between Mecca and Medina rapidly worsened (see surat al-Baqara.) Meccans confiscated all the property that the Muslims had left in Mecca . In Medina , Muhammad signed treaties of alliance and mutual help with neighboring tribes.
>
> Muhammad turned to raiding caravans bound for Mecca . Caravan raiding was an old Arabian tradition; later Muslim apologists justified the raids by the state of war deemed to exist between the Meccans and the Muslims

"Caravan raiding was an old Arabian tradition?" What a lame excuse! I already discussed this moral relativistic cop-out. Even if raiding was an Arab "tradition", which is ludicrous, would this justify one who claims to be a prophet of God and the "best of the creation" to follow that evil tradition? The Muslims who wrote this in Wikipedia want to make looting sound like a folk festival. "Tradition!?"... What an innovative way to describe a crime! If stealing was a "tradition", why Muhammad was so upset when others stole something from him? Why he prohibited his followers from stealing the booty? The booty (the stolen property of the non-Muslims) was okay, but stealing from that stolen loot warranted hellfire!? This was the typical moral relativistic reasoning of Muhammad and consequently of his benighted followers.

Wikipedia is controlled by cyber jihadis who gang up together to kill the truth. It is virtually impossible to write anything truthful in that encyclopedia on Islam. Friends of FFI are now in the process of creating an exclusive Wiki on Islam, where everyone would be free to edit but we will not allow bullishness and cyber terrorism of a bunch of jihadis.

> Secular scholars will add that this was a matter of survival for the Muslims as well. They owned no land in Medina and if they did not raid, they would have to live on charity and whatever wage labor they could find.

Who are those "secular scholars"? Is he by any chance talking about the useful idiots such as Noam Chomsky, Karen Armstrong and John Esposito? Just see how ridiculous the Wikipedia has become when it comes to Islam.

What an excuse! *Since Muslims did not own lands, they had to engage in ethnic cleansing of the Jews and confiscate theirs.* Ah, what a logical and satisfactory response! How could I

have missed this logic?

What about the other places? Muhammad and his thugs raided many cities and villages that were not even close to Medina and had nothing to do with Muslims. Their only sin was that they were wealthy and Muslims needed their money.

Should all those who do not have land and money raid those who have, kill them and loot them to survive? Or is this "divine" teaching only for Muslims? As shocking as it is, this is exactly how Muslims think and that is why they are in Europe and in the West. They think the West belongs to them. If they succeed in their dream, they will do with the non-Muslims what Muhammad and his gangsters did to the non-Muslims of their time. It would be foolish not to pay attention to what Muslims say. All you have to do is to listen to them. They want your countries and they are ready to kill you for that. If not you, your children will pay with their lives if you do not heed to what they say. Don't worry about your grandchildren because if Muslims are not stopped now, you may not have any.

Taken from http://www.islamonline.net/surah/english/viewSurah.asp?hSurahID=18

Topics and their Interconnection

This portion deals with the problems of the "Spoils of War". The Quran says that these are not the spoils of war but the "Bounties of Allah" and proves this by showing that the victory at Badr (and in all other battles, too,) was won by His succour and not by the efforts of the Muslims. **It also declares (in v. 40) that the war aim of the Muslims should be to eliminate all unfavourable conditions for the establishment of Islam and not to gain spoils. Moreover, the spoils, being the bounties of God, belong to Allah and His Messenger and they alone are entitled to allocate them. Then after conditioning the Muslims to accept these things, the different shares have been allocated in v. 41. 1 - 41**

What did I tell you? All you have to do is to listen to Muslims. What Muslims steal from you is not spoils of war. It is the "Bounties of Allah". It is rightfully theirs. Not only they do not feel remorse, they will actually thank their devil Allah for that bounty happily with no pangs of conscience.

Why this Allah does not give his bounties to his servants through science, industry, technology and progress? Why this bounty should always come to them as **stolen property** from non-Muslims? This question does not even occur to Muslims. Why? It is because they have no conscience. Those who have, do no longer call themselves by this shameful name.

Taken from http://www.irfi.org/articles/articles_251_300/social_security_in_islam.htm

Department of Public Treasury

State revenue is the most important tool for providing social security to a nation. During the Caliphate of ‘Umar ibn al-Khattab, the income of the treasury department had immensely increased due to his wise and strict administrative policies. Zakah (religious obligation on a Muslim to pay 2½% from his/her wealth), ‘ushr (religious tax on agricultural land), Sadaqah (spending for the pleasure of Allah), jizyah (tax on the non-Muslims for providing security) a**nd khums (the one-fifth of the spoils of war) were credited to the treasury for the use of the Muslims at large.** For example, after the battles of Yarmouk and Qãdisiyyah, the Muslims won heavy spoils. The coffers at Madinah al-Munawwarah became full to the brink.

The aim of the Islamic social security system is to fulfil every possible human need. These needs can broadly be classified into two categories: (1) Primary needs i.e., food, clothing, housing and necessary medical care, and (2) Secondary needs i.e., education, matrimony, old age benefits and social services etc.

Caliph 'Umar ibn al-Khattab used Social Security

· To provide Food during serious drought or famines to the people according to the family size.

· For the poor and disabled

· To provide education to the children

· To finance marriages of the unmarried poor or needy persons.

· To grant old age benefits and in old age investment

· To give loans for economic activity

· For granting Interest-free loans

· To pay off the debts of persons under obligation

· As Social Insurance to pay blood money of convicts in involuntary homicide

· To pay stipends to widows, married and unmarried women, young men and the immigrants.

Yep! You are the social security for the Muslims! Got it?

This site says “During the Caliphate of ‘Umar ibn al-Khattab, the income of the treasury department had immensely increased."

How did it increase? Did Umar encourage agriculture, art, industry, commerce? Where did this wealth come from? It was through looting of course. The writer is honest enough to say: "the battles of Yarmouk and Qãdisiyyah, the Muslims won heavy spoils. The coffers at Madinah al-Munawwarah became full to the brink." and acknowledges that this wealth "came from jizyah (tax on the non-Muslims for providing security) and khums (the one-fifth of the spoils of war).

As long as looting continued Muslims prospered but as soon as they were defeated and their conquest stopped, so ebbed their so called “Golden Age”.

What security Muslims provide for non-Muslims? The same kind of security that the Mafia gangsters used to provide for the businesses in Chicago during the 1930s. Jizya is extortion fee. Non-Muslims must work and maintain the Muslims or face death. See this hadith:

According to the saying of the Prophet (peace_be_upon_him): Allah has placed truth upon Umar's tongue and heart. **He fixed stipends for Muslims**, and provided protection for the people of other religions by levying Jizya (poll-tax) on them, deducting no fifth from it, nor taking it as booty.[Sunan Abu Dawud 19.2955]

The Westerners should know that for Muslims, the welfare that they collect, is the Jizya that you are supposed to pay. They are not grateful at all and they have no intention to find a work and stop collecting this Jizya which is rightfully theirs. However the amount is not enough. They must receive most of the money that you make. Their houses must be bigger and better than yours. As long as this is not so, they feel oppressed and will fight you to end this oppression.